

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,536			01/04/2002	Dietrich W. Schultz	21540-05799	9380
	758	7590	03/18/2005		EXAM	INER
		K & WES		VAUGHN, GREGORY J		
	SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MOUNTA	IN VIEW,	CA 94041		2178	

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
	055	10/039,536		SCHULTZ ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Gregory J. Va	-	2178					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the co	rer sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, hen. a reply within the statutory eriod will apply and will exp statute, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>(</u>	04 January 2002.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-f	inal.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims				•				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But see the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been renents have been repriority documents reau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive .2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SEr No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te atent Application (PTC	D-152)				

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to generating in a first document a link to at least one other document, classified in class 715 (Data Processing: Presentation Processing of Document), subclass 501.1 (Hypermedia).
 - II. Claims 11-16, drawn to adding to a first document information based upon at least one other document, classified in class 715 (Data Processing: Presentation Processing of Document), subclass 512 (Annotation Control).
 - III. Claims 17-23, drawn to generating a document with an index to a plurality of data pieces within that document, classified in class 715 (Data Processing: Presentation Processing of Document), subclass 513 (Structured Document).
 - IV. Claims 24-34, drawn to updating a first document with information based on a second document, classified in class 715 (Data Processing: Presentation Processing of Document), subclass 530 (Edit, Composition or Storage Control).

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Invention IV and inventions I, II, and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful for linking electronic documents (Group I), annotating documents (Group II) and for generating structured documents (Group III), and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,536

Art Unit: 2178

Page 4

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,536

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone number is (571)

272-4131. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to

5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached at (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Vaughn March 7, 2005

*STEPHEN HUNG OUDEDVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5